

Field Susceptibility of Apple Cultivars to Scab, *Venturia inaequalis* and Powdery Mildew, *Podosphaera leucotricha* in a Cool, Humid, Climate¹

ROBERT A. NORTON^{2 3}

Since 1963 approximately one hundred apple cultivars and advanced breeding selections have been evaluated at Northwestern Washington Research and Extension Unit, located 65 miles north of Seattle adjacent to the Pacific Ocean. A 1972 report (3) describes disease susceptibility and general horticultural characteristics of 68 cultivars. Many of these cultivars have been discarded and others added. This report presents subjective disease ratings, based on annual observations of natural infection in the field from 1972-1979.

Climatically this area is typified by an annual precipitation of from 381-2032 mm (15-80 inches) depending on proximity to the Cascade and Olympic Mountains. Cool summer temperatures, rarely exceeding 26.7°C (80°F), and frequent light showers, fog, and dew furnish ideal conditions for scab and mildew development. The Research Unit, the locale of the de-

scribed trials, has an annual rainfall of 813 mm (32 inches) and average temperature of 10.2°C (50.3°F) distributed as shown:

The trees upon which the disease ratings were taken are located in three small blocks, all within a radius of one quarter mile. One block is seeded to perennial turfgrass with soil residual chemical weed control in the tree row. The other two are presently clean cultivated. All trees are growing on size controlling rootstocks, EM 26, VII, IX and 106. From 1-11 single tree replications of each cultivar have been established in different years since 1963. Thus, there are a variable number of disease observations recorded in the study and no attempt was made to analyze the observations statistically.

The ratings were made annually on each tree in the orchard blocks between mid-July and mid-August. Since June drop and hand thinning were completed prior to rating, the data do not represent the maximum percent of infected fruit, but simply an estimate of the degree of disease carrying through to harvest. Scab ratings represent the approximate percent of fruit with scab lesions. Number and size of lesions was not considered. Mildew infection was estimated as the percentage of shoots showing symptoms of the disease.

A "minimal" spray program was applied annually. This usually consisted of a pre-pink or pink application of benomyl, oil, polysulfide or dodine (Cyprex), alone or in combinations;

Month	Rainfall		Temperature °C			
	(mm)	Mean	Max.	Min.	Highest	Lowest
Jan.	96	3.8	7.4	0.2	18.3	-20.0
Feb.	79	5.6	9.5	1.7	21.1	-13.3
Mar.	69	6.7	11.0	2.3	19.4	- 5.0
Apr.	62	9.4	14.6	4.1	24.4	- 2.2
May	45	12.5	18.6	6.4	31.7	0
June	41	15.0	20.6	9.2	32.2	3.9
July	21	16.7	23.9	9.6	33.8	3.3
Aug.	41	16.5	23.4	9.6	36.7	2.8
Sept.	53	14.1	20.6	7.7	29.4	- 1.1
Oct.	93	10.6	15.6	5.7	24.4	- 2.2
Nov.	105	6.2	10.1	2.2	16.7	- 6.7
Dec.	109	5.0	8.3	1.6	14.4	-16.1

¹Scientific Paper No. 5514. College of Agriculture Research Center, Washington State University, Pullman. Project No. 0061.

²Superintendent and Horticulturist, Northwestern Washington Research and Extension Unit, Mount Vernon, Washington.

³Thanks are extended to Jacqueline King, Walter Bratz and Jack Weyh for assistance in this project.

a petal fall application of dodine, dinocap (Karathane), Captan or wettable sulfur alone or in combination, and an occasional summer spray of dodine and dinocap following an extended rainy period. Insecticides were added as needed for aphids, leaf roller and mites. Codling moth is not a major problem in this area.

The data in Table 1 presents both

the maximum disease incidence recorded in any single observation and the overall mean. The scab and mildew ratings varied greatly from season to season and from tree to tree because of weather conditions, effectiveness of the spray program and location in the orchard. Thus, both the maximum and the mean are helpful in evaluating field resistance.

Table 1. Susceptibility of 78 Cultivars to Field Infection of Scab and Mildew.

Cultivar	No. of Trees ¹	No. of Observations ²	% Mildew		% Fruit Scab	
			Maximum ³	Mean ⁴	Maximum	Mean
Ashmead's Kernel	2	8	20	8.1	60	21.2
Blushing Golden	1	7	20	8.0	80	33.6
Bramley's Seedling	2	8	30	3.8	10	3.6
Brown Russet	1	6	20	3.3	15	5.0
Buckley Giant	1	6	20	7.5	1	0.2
Burgundy	1	7	80	43.6	90	42.9
Chehalis	3	22	80	13.2	30	4.5
Coop 1	1	5	10	3.0	0	0
Delicious (Gardner)	1	8	15	2.9	90	51.0
Discovery	4	15	10	0.7	75	13.7
Early McIntosh	1	7	10	3.6	90	27.1
Empire	1	8	25	11.3	90	48.8
Fuji	4	15	25	2.9	95	35.3
Gala	4	14	30	3.6	95	28.2
Golden Delicious	1	4	20	6.3	30	11.3
Gravenstein	6	25	20	5.4	90	9.0
Grove	1	5	25	9.0	90	47.0
Hawaii (Early Golden)	3	22	35	10.2	90	23.6
Holiday	1	6	50	18.3	90	41.7
Holly	2	8	5	0.6	80	27.5
Honeygold	2	6	15	4.2	95	46.7
Hudson's Golden Gem	2	8	40	7.5	15	3.1
Idared	2	15	90	29.7	90	24.3
Jefferis	1	6	60	23.5	30	10.8
Jerseymac	3	5	90	35.0	100	67.8
Jonagold	4	16	50	16.3	50	17.5
Jonalicious	1	8	60	10.6	14	6.0
Jonamac	4	16	90	25.3	80	22.0
Jonnee	1	6	90	62.5	80	30.0
Julyred	1	4	90	62.5	100	86.2
Laking	3	9	35	11.7	90	41.1
Lodi	2	11	30	10.6	80	27.7
Lyman's Large Summer	1	6	15	2.5	90	44.2
Macoun	3	25	90	32.3	70	16.2
Magnolia Gold	2	8	70	30.6	85	31.9

Table 1. (Continued)

Cultivar	No. of Trees ¹	No. of Observations ²	% Mildew		% Fruit Scab	
			Maximum ³	Mean ⁴	Maximum	Mean
Maigold	2	7	15	3.6	85	12.9
Melba, Red	1	7	15	3.6	60	38.6
Melrose	2	16	80	24.4	60	20.0
Minn 1039	3	4	75	42.5	60	41.3
Mother	1	7	30	9.3	10	2.9
Mutsu	2	14	5	0.4	25	11.8
NJ 49	3	9	10	3.9	100	61.7
NJ 50	3	9	20	5.0	80	50.6
NJ 52	3	9	5	0.6	90	63.9
Newtosh	1	5	50	18.0	90	27.0
NY E-18	2	7	18	3.4	25	5.0
NY 381	2	6	5	0.8	50	25.8
NY 18491	1	6	25	15.8	10	4.2
NY 44410-2	1	6	40	13.3	40	19.2
NY 55140-9	1	6	40	18.3	0	0
NY 58553-1	1	6	25	13.3	0	0
Orenco	1	4	40	13.8	40	17.5
Oriole	2	9	95	48.9	20	11.7
Ozark Gold	2	8	10	1.3	30	8.9
Paulared	2	12	70	20.8	30	5.5
Prima	3	16	60	9.9	20	1.3
Prime Red (Akane)	4	18	60	12.8	60	8.1
Priscilla	2	5	10	2.2	0	0
Quinte	1	4	8	2.0	80	63.8
Raritan	1	8	40	16.9	10	4.9
Red Baron	2	6	75	16.7	15	4.2
Signe Tillisch	1	6	80	48.3	25	9.2
Sinta	5	12	30	6.2	95	33.3
Sir Prize	3	5	80	46.0	0	0
Spartan	11	72	30	2.2	90	6.0
Spigold	1	5	75	24.0	25	5.2
Stark Splendor	1	6	0	0	80	25.0
Starkspur Earliblaze	2	5	25	7.0	30	11.0
Stirling	3	7	0	0	10	1.4
Summerglo	1	8	20	3.1	100	18.1
Summerred	3	19	70	12.5	90	36.1
Tohoku 4	1	7	5	0.7	5	0.7
Tompkins King	1	5	80	23.0	10	2.0
Tydeman Early	3	13	20	3.1	10	1.7
US-Ga 6132	4	9	30	8.1	75	33.9
Viking	4	21	50	6.7	95	21.4
Vista Bella	1	5	20	16.0	100	81.0
Wolf River	1	6	10	1.7	5	1.7

¹Number of bearing trees rated.
²Total number of observations = number of times each tree was rated (mildew and fruit scab). No cultivar rated less than 4 years.
³Highest rating recorded for a given cultivar.
⁴Mean of all ratings.

Conclusions

Cultivars with high *resistance* to both scab and mildew: Bramley's Seedling, Brown Russet, Coop #1, Priscilla, Stirling, Tohoku 4, Tydeman's Early and Wolf River.

Cultivars with high *susceptibility* to both scab and mildew: Burgundy, Idared, Jersey mac, Jonamac, Jonnee, Jolyred, Melrose and Magnolia Gold.

Cultivars with high *resistance* to scab *only*: Buckley Giant, Chehalis, Hudson's Golden Gem, Mother, NY 55140-9, NY 58553-1, NY 18491, Prima, Raritan, Red Baron, Sir Prize and Tompkins King.

Cultivars with high *resistance* to mildew *only*: Discovery, Early McIntosh, Holly, Mutsu, NJ 52, NY 381, Ozark Gold, Quinte and Stark Splendor.

These data are helpful in developing recommendations for various types of clientele, whether they be commercial growers committed to a thorough chemical disease control program or those with an equal commitment to avoiding pesticides. Publications providing general cultivar descriptions (2, 7), with one notable exception (1),

do not provide sufficient data specifically on disease resistance. Therefore, we have been providing applied publications to our clientele to inform them of our special regional requirements. (4, 5, 6)

Literature Cited

1. Anon. 1972-1979, (Annual). A catalog of new and noteworthy fruits. New York State Fruit Testing Cooperative Association, Geneva, NY 14456.
2. Brooks, R. M. and H. P. Olmo. 1972. Register of new fruit and nut varieties; 2nd ed. University of California Press, Berkeley.
3. Norton, R. A. 1972. Apple cultivars for the cool, humid climate of Northwest Washington. *Fruit Var. and Hort. Dig.* Vol. 26, No. 3.
4. ———. 1977. Apple and pears for the Puget Sound region. *Pomona North American Fruit Explorers* 10(2).
5. ———. 1978. New and unusual apple varieties for western Washington, EM 4328. Washington State University, *Coop. Ext. Ser.*, Pullman, WA. 99164.
6. ———. and P. C. Crandall. 1974. Tree fruit and berry varieties for western Washington, EM 3427 Washington State University, *Coop. Ext. Ser.*, Pullman, WA. 99164.
7. Smith, M. W. G. 1971. National apple register of the United Kingdom, Ministry of Ag, Fisheries and Food, London.

Book Review

Growing Your Own Fruits and Nuts in the Sub-Tropics. Henry Louis Ullman, PIC O MAUI, Publishers, Box 635, Makawao, HI 96768. \$1.75.

A handbook guide for growing your own fruits and nuts in the sub-tropics is presented in such a manner that one might grow these plants with expertise in Hawaii.

The following crops were discussed: banana, papaya, lilikoe, mango, avocado, orange, lemon, lime, tangerine, lychee, guava, breadfruit, annona, fig,

macadamia nut, coconut, strawberry and deciduous fruit trees.

The author failed to mention the Horticulture Department of the University of Hawaii for further detailed information concerning the growth and production of these crops. The grower should obtain as much information as possible concerning the specific planting before time and expense are involved.

—R. K. Simons