

whether the -2.2 to -3.3°C shelter temperatures during the first part of April were low enough to cause cold injury to the blossom. Since vegetative bud injury occurred with all cultivars, the wood may have had sufficient cold injury for some cultivars to cause a weakening of the flower buds which in turn to caused the erratic responses between the amount of bloom and the crop load for those cultivars having fruit.

Literature Cited

1. Cain, D. W. and R. L. Andersen. 1979. Relative freezing injury to 'Velvet,' 'Redhaven' and 'Siberian C' peaches following controlled freezer tests at selected dates during two winters. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 104(6): 839-843.
2. Chaplain, C. E. 1948. Artificial freezing tests of peach fruit buds. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 52:121-129.
3. Edgerton, L. J. and M. B. Hoffman. 1952. Effect of thinning peach bloom with bloom and post bloom sprays on cold hardiness of peach buds. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sc.* 60: 155-159.
4. Knowlton, H. E. 1937. Hardiness of peach and apple buds. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 34:238-341.
5. Layne, R. E. C. and G. M. Ward. 1978. Rootstock and seasonal influences on carbohydrate levels and cold hardiness of 'Redhaven' peach. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 103: 408-413.
6. Marriage, P. B. and H. A. Quamme. 1980. Effect of weed control on winter hardiness of bark and wood of young peach trees. *Hort-Science* 15:290-291.
7. Prince, V. E. 1966. Winter injury to peach trees in central Georgia. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 88:190-196.

Book Review

Horticultural Reviews Volume 8, Edited by Jules Janick, contains 392 pages and is available for \$54.00 from AVI Publishing Company, P.O. Box 831, 250 Post Road East, Westport, Connecticut 06881. With the continual expansion of published material authoritative reviews become vital in keeping current. The following subjects reviewed in the 9 chapters of this issue: Air Pollution, Edible Aroids, the effect of CA storage and quality, the Navel Orange, nitrogen in citrus, flowering in pecan and avocado, chestnut blight, and physiological responses of

fruit trees to pruning. These subjects are not only covered in detail with the most important information highlighted but the authors have also pulled together the recent references for the readers. This issue maintains the high quality established by this series and will be a useful reference for horticulturists and serious amateurs. The editorial board for this issue consisted of C. A. Conover, E. Van Wann and R. H. Zimmerman from the American Society for Horticultural Science who cosponsored this series.